

**David A. Paterson**  
Governor



Deborah VanAmerongen  
Chairperson

**New York State Division of Housing and Community Renewal**

Hampton Plaza  
38-40 State Street  
Albany, NY 12207

June 26, 2009

Mr. Patrick Blanchfield, AICP  
Director of Environmental Review  
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development  
100 Gold Street, Room 9V-3  
New York, NY 10038

Re: Livonia Terrace/Livonia Partners LLC  
435, 441, 443, 446, 452, 456, 462, 466, 469, 475 Alabama Avenue;  
446, 450, 456, 466, 468, 470, 476 Georgia Avenue  
Kings County, Brooklyn, NY

Dear Mr. Blanchfield:

The New York State Division of Housing and Community Renewal (DHCR) has determined that the Environmental Review Record, prepared by NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development on June 16, 2009, for the above referenced project is in compliance with the requirements of 24 CFR Part 58 of HUD Environmental Review regulations. This letter constitutes clearance of the environmental review of this project and removal of the limitation on commitment of HUD TCAP assistance per [24 CFR 58.22(b)].

DHCR, in compliance with the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), concurs with HPD's determination of Type II on June 11, 2009.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding this environmental clearance letter, please contact me at (518) 402-3392 or [bwigzell@nysdhcr.gov](mailto:bwigzell@nysdhcr.gov).

Sincerely,

Barbara H. Wigzell, R.A.  
Certifying Officer  
SEQR Officer

Cc: Cathy Frangella, TCAP Program Manager

**Environmental Assessment**  
**Site Specific Review**

**Responsible Entity:** NYS Division of Housing and Community Renewal (DHCR)

**Certifying Officer:** Barbara H. Wigzell, RA, DHCR

**Program Name:** The Tax Credit Assistance Program (TCAP)  
American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009

**Federal Agency:** U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

**Project #:** N/A

**Project Sponsor:** **Livonia Partners, LLC**

**Project Name:** **Livonia Terrace**

**Project Site Address:** 435, 441, 443, 446, 452, 456, 462, 466, 469, 475 Alabama Avenue;  
446, 450, 456, 466, 468, 470, 476 Georgia Avenue  
Brooklyn, NY 11207

**Project County:** Kings County

**Estimated project costs:** \$25 million

**Total TCAP Award:** \$9.5 million

**Project Sponsor Address:** 217-02 Jamaica Avenue, Queens Village, NY 11428

**Primary Contact's Name:** Patrick Blanchfield, AICP, Director of Environmental Review - New York City  
Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD)

**E-Mail address:** blanchfp@hpd.nyc.gov

**Telephone Number:** 212-863-5056

**Program Classification:** 58.36 - Environmental Assessment

**ENVIRONMENTAL FINDING:** [58.40(g)]

**Finding of No Significant Impact** - The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

**Finding of Significant Impact** - The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

The undersigned hereby certifies that HPD has conducted an environmental review of the project identified above and prepared the attached environmental review record in compliance with all applicable provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, (42 USC sec. 4321 et seq.) and its implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 58.

**Preparer Signature:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**NAME:** Aaron Werner

**Title/Agency:** Environmental Planner  
New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD)

**Date:** 6/16/09

**Project Name:** Livonia Terrace  
**Project #:** N/A

## **Environmental Assessment** **Site Specific Review**

### **Project Description:**

Funding from HUD's Tax Credit Assistance Program (TCAP) is being sought in connection with the rehabilitation of 17 existing apartment buildings in the East New York neighborhood of Brooklyn. Livonia Partners, LLC is seeking to rehabilitate apartments within 17 existing residential buildings located at 435, 441, 443, 446, 452, 456, 462, 466, 469, and 475 Alabama Avenue and 446, 450, 456, 466, 468, 470, and 476 Georgia Avenue (Block 3802, Lot 38; Block 3803, Lots 1 and 11). The existing four-story buildings currently contain a total of 171 dwelling units plus a superintendent's unit and a management office. Upon completion of the rehabilitation there would be no change in the number of dwelling units which would remain at 171. The unit distribution is as follows: 43 1-bedroom units with 1 bath, 50 2-bedroom units with 1 bath, 40 3-bedroom units with one bath, 28 4-bedroom units with 1.5 baths and 12 5-bedroom units with 1.5 baths. All 173 units will be rehabilitated using TCAP and HOME funds and current tenants will be allowed to remain in the building during rehabilitation activities, which consist of minor repairs and improvements.

This project is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35 (a)(3)(ii).

### **Summary of Findings and Conclusions**

#### **Alternatives and Project Modifications Considered** [24 CFR 58.40(e), Ref. 40 CFR 1508.9]

*(Identify other reasonable courses of action that were considered and not selected, such as other sites, design modifications, or other uses of the subject site. Describe the benefits and adverse impacts to the human environment of each alternative and the reasons for rejecting it.)*

**No Action:** Under no-action conditions, the existing buildings on the project site would remain in their current state with building conditions continuing to deteriorate. The residential buildings would lose an opportunity to gain needed improvements.

**Action:** Refer to "Project Description" above.

#### **Mitigation Measures Recommended** [24 CFR 58.40(d), 40 CFR 1508.20]

*(Recommend feasible ways in which the proposal or its external factors should be modified in order to minimize adverse environmental impacts and restore or enhance environmental quality.)*

The Livonia Terrace rehabilitation project would include noise attenuation features to mitigate potential impacts related to noise from an elevated subway line located on Livonia Avenue. In order to attenuate for noise, the project sponsor, Livonia Partners, LLC, will be required to provide 40 dB of window-wall noise attenuation for the east, south and west facades of the building located at 475 Alabama Avenue, the street facade of the building located at 466 Alabama Avenue, and the street facade of the building located at 476 Georgia Avenue. Documents submitted by the project's architect of record are attached and include window manufacturer specifications showing that the new windows proposed for installation on these façades have an STC rating of 30 dB. The existing masonry wall assembly of these buildings has an STC rating of 59 dB or greater.

#### **Additional Studies Performed**

- Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Conrad Geoscience Corp - February 2009)
- Phase II Environmental Site Investigation (CA Rich Environmental Consultants - May 18, 2009)
- NEPA Review for HOME funding - June 2009

#### **List of Attachments:**

Attached please find the following documents:

- Noise attenuation letter and plans from project Architect of Record - June 15, 2009
- Letter from NYC Dept. of Environmental Protection (DEP) approving Phase II Investigation - June 3, 2009
- Letter from NYC Landmarks Preservation Commission - April 23, 2009
- FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map #3604970217F
- NYC Coastal Zone Boundary Map
- NYC Zoning Map #17D

**List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]**

- CA Rich Environmental Consultants
- NYC Landmarks Preservation Commission
- FEMA FIRM Maps
- NYC Coastal Zone Maps
- NYC OASIS
- NYC Department of City Planning
- NYC Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

**APPENDIX A**  
**Statutory Checklist**  
**Site Specific**  
[24CFR §58.5 and 58.6]

Project #: N/A  
Project Name: Livonia Terrace  
Project Address: 435, 441, 443, 446, 452, 456, 462, 466, 469, 475 Alabama Avenue;  
446, 450, 456, 466, 468, 470, 476 Georgia Avenue  
Brooklyn, NY 11207

Date: 6/16/2009

*For each listed statute, executive order or regulation, record the determinations made. Note reviews, consultations or mitigation measures required:*

**A - Not Applicable to this project OR B - Consultation, Permit and/or Mitigation Required**

*Then, make a determination of compliance or consistency in the Section provided.*

Factors	A	B	Determinations and Compliance Documentation
<b>Historic Preservation</b> [36 CFR 800]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The NYC Landmarks Preservation Commission was contacted on April 23, 2009. LPC determined that the proposed project would not adversely affect architectural or archaeological resources within the City. (See attached LPC memo dated 4/23/2009)
<b>Floodplain Management</b> [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The proposed project is not located within a Special Flood Hazard Zone (See FIRM Map #360497021F)
<b>Wetlands Protection</b> [Executive Order 11990]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Projects involving rehabilitation of existing structures are not required to be reviewed for consistency with 24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11990.
<b>Coastal Zone Management Act</b> [Sections 307(c),(d)]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Projects involving acquisition of existing structures or rehabilitation of existing structures (without a change in building footprint or use) are not required to be reviewed for consistency with the Coastal Zone Management Act.
<b>Sole Source Aquifers</b> [40 CFR 149]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Projects involving rehabilitation of existing structures are not required to be reviewed for consistency with 40 CFR 149.
<b>Endangered Species Act</b> [50 CFR 402]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Projects involving rehabilitation of existing structures are not required to be reviewed for consistency with 50 CFR 402.
<b>Wild and Scenic Rivers Act</b> [Sections 7 (b), (c)]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	There are no wild, scenic, or recreational rivers within New York City, as designated by the US Department of the Interior; therefore the proposed project would have no impacts related to the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.
<b>Air Quality</b> [Clean Air Act, Sections 176 (c) and (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The proposed project is located in a non-attainment area. However, the project involves rehabilitation of existing buildings and would not generate additional traffic, therefore no exceedance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) associated with carbon monoxide (CO) or particulate matter (PM) would result. The project would not adversely affect the State Implementation Plan (SIP). In addition, the project does not contain a transportation component. No significant impacts related to air quality would result.
<b>Farmland Protection Policy Act</b> [7 CFR 658]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The proposed project will not cause disturbance to Prime, Unique, or Statewide Important Farmland or result in the conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural uses. Therefore the proposed project would not violate the Farmland Protection Policy Act. No impacts are anticipated.
<b>Environmental Justice</b> [Executive Order 12898]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The proposed project would be located in a predominantly low-income and minority area. It is intended to serve an existing need for affordable housing and is not expected to facilitate development which would result in adverse environmental justice impacts.

		<p>Although the project site is located in a neighborhood which is characterized as low-income and minority, the proposed project would not result in any unmitigated impacts. Measures regarding noise attenuation would be provided by the project sponsor.</p>
<p><b>Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51 B]</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The proposed project involves rehabilitation of existing apartment buildings, therefore no increase in traffic volumes would result from the proposed project that could result in significant adverse mobile source noise impacts. However, the proposed project is adjacent to an elevated MTA subway line (#3 train) which runs along Livonia Avenue. Existing noise readings at the project site were not available. However, noise analyses included in the CEQR EAS for the New Lots Plaza Rezoning (CEQR No. 08HPD012K), a nearby project (Livonia Avenue and Barbey Street), found that the existing noise from the same elevated train along Livonia Avenue had a measured peak noise level of 83.5 dBA. The existing noise condition at this location falls in the "unacceptable" category per HUD requirements (above 75 dB).</p> <p>The east, south, and west facades of the building located at 475 Alabama Avenue, the street façade of the building located at 466 Alabama Avenue, and the street façade of the building located at 476 Georgia Avenue are expected to be impacted by similar noise levels as those measured from the elevated train as part of the New Lots Plaza Rezoning EAS. As a result of this previous analysis from a comparable location in Brooklyn, the aforementioned façades of the proposed project will be constructed to achieve a minimum of 40 dB of window-wall noise attenuation. The window-wall noise attenuation will be provided by the project sponsor, Livonia Partners, LLC.</p> <p>As discussed above, the scope of the proposed project calls for minor repairs and improvements to the existing buildings and apartments. Given the extent and nature of the rehabilitation proposed to be undertaken, the replacement of existing windows with windows which would have a greater STC value has been determined to be an appropriate measure to attenuate ambient noise due to the elevated train. HPD will ensure that the window-wall attenuation for the rehabilitation project, which has been documented in the attached letter from the project's architect, is implemented. (See architect's letter regarding noise attenuation, June 15, 2009). The proposed windows will feature aluminum double hung windows manufactured by Empire Architectural Products that consist of 7/8 inch overall thickness insulating glass. When combined with the existing masonry walls, the proposed project would have an overall composite loss of 40 dB (see graphs attached to architect's letter), which is greater than the required level of 39 dB based on the previous noise reading taken for the elevated train on Livonia Avenue. (See letter from project architect - June 15, 2009; New Lots Plaza Rezoning EAS CEQR No. 08HPD012K).</p>
<p><b>Toxic or Hazardous Substances and Radioactive Materials [HUD Notice 79-33]</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> A Phase II Subsurface Investigation was conducted for the project site in May 2009 that included both soil and groundwater sampling. All soil results were within guidance levels. Groundwater samples were found to contain chloroform in concentrations barely exceeding New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGS) levels.</p> <p>The results of the Phase II Subsurface Investigation were forwarded to the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) on May 21, 2009. In a response letter dated June 3, 2009, DEP concluded that since soil and groundwater sampling results were within acceptable limits, no further action is necessary for the site and the applicant may proceed with the rehabilitation of the on-site structures as planned (See attached letter from DEP dated June 3, 2009).</p> <p>A lead-based paint risk assessment was completed by HUD for all buildings in the proposed project in 2005. The project sponsor will be required to submit a Lead Based-Paint (LBP) Survey and, if necessary, a LBP Removal and Disposal Plan (and submit copies to HPD and DHCR for the environmental review record).</p> <p>An asbestos survey has been carried out for all buildings on the project site and is attached. Where asbestos containing materials (ACM) have been documented, all materials to be removed must be disposed according to the requirements of the New York City Asbestos Control Program, which is in conformance with the NYS Department of Labor regulations at 12 NYCRR Part 56, as amended</p>

		<p>January 11, 2006. With regard to ACM, removal and disposal must conform with all applicable regulations. A copy of the final clearance report will be submitted to HPD and DHCR for the environmental review record.</p> <p>The Phase I ESA did not include a survey for mold. Prior to rehabilitation activities, the project must comply with New York City "Guidelines on Assessment and Remediation of Fungi in Indoor Environments".</p> <p>No samples were taken as part of the Phase I ESA to confirm the presence of radon gas. The area of the proposed project is classified as Zone 3 for radon by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), indicating the the average indoor level in the area is &lt;2 pCi/L (low potential). Based on this information, radon is not expected to be a concern at the project site.</p> <p>(See Phase I ESA – Conrad Geoscience Corp - February 2009; Phase II Site Investigation - CA Rich Environmental Consultants - May 18, 2009; and DEP Phase II approval letter - June 3, 2009)</p>
<b>Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects near Hazardous Operations [24 CFR 51 C]</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Projects involving acquisition and rehabilitation (without expansion) are not required to be reviewed for consistency with 24 CFR 51C because the number of persons exposed is not increased.
<b>Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51 D]</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> The proposed project is not located within one mile of an airport clear zone or accident potential zone. No impacts would result.

**NOTES:**

**END OF STATUTORY CHECKLIST**

Aaron Werner, Environmental Planner, NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD)  
Preparer

Date June 16, 2009

**APPENDIX B**  
**Environmental Assessment Checklist**  
**Site Specific**

Project #: **N/A**  
 Project Name: **Livonia Terrace**  
 Project Address: 435, 441, 443, 446, 452, 456, 462, 466, 469, 475 Alabama Avenue  
 446, 450, 456, 466, 468, 470, 476 Georgia Avenue  
 Brooklyn, NY 11207

Date: 6/16/2009

[Environmental Review Guide HUD CPD 782, 24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 & 1508.27]  
 Evaluate the significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features and resources of the project area.

Enter relevant base data and verifiable source documentation to support the finding. Then enter the appropriate impact code from the following list to make a finding of impact. **Impact Codes:** (1) - No impact anticipated; (2) - Potentially beneficial; (3) - Potentially adverse; (4) - Requires mitigation; (5) - Requires project modification. Note names, dates of contact, telephone numbers and page references. Attach additional materials as needed.

<b>Land Development</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Source or Documentation</b>
Conformance with Comprehensive Plans and Zoning	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Compatibility and Urban Impact	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Slope	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Erosion	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Soil Suitability	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.

Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Energy Consumption	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
<b>Neighborhood Impacts</b>		
Noise - Contribution to Community Noise Levels	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Air Quality - Effects of Ambient Air Quality on Project and Contribution to Community Pollution Levels	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Environmental Design Visual Quality - Coherence, Diversity, Compatible Use and Scale	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
<b>Socioeconomic</b>		
Demographic Character Changes	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Displacement	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Employment and Income Patterns	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
<b>Community Facilities and Services</b>		
Educational Facilities	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.

Commercial Facilities	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Health Care	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Social Services	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Solid Waste	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Waste Water	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Storm Water	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Water Supply	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Public Safety - Police	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
- Fire	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
- Emergency Medical	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
- Open Space	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a

		category exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
- Recreation	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a category exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
- Cultural Facilities	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a category exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
- Transportation	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a category exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
<b>Natural Features</b>		
Water Resources	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a category exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Surface Water	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a category exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Unique Natural Features and Agricultural Lands	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a category exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Vegetation and Wildlife	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a category exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Flood Disaster Protection Act [Flood Insurance]	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a category exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act/ Coastal Barrier Improvement Act [§58.6(c)]	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a category exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.

Airport Runway Clear Zone or Clear Zone Disclosure [§58.6(d)]	1	The proposed rehabilitation project has been determined to be a categorical exclusion. Therefore, it is not required to be assessed relative to the areas considered in the Environmental Assessment Checklist.
<b>Other Factors</b>		
<b>Other Factors</b>		
<b>Other Factors</b>		

Comments:

**END OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST**

Aaron Werner, Environmental Planner, NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD)  
Preparer

Date June 16, 2009