

**David A. Paterson**  
Governor



Deborah VanAmerongen  
Chairperson

**New York State Division of Housing and Community Renewal**

Hampton Plaza  
38-40 State Street  
Albany, NY 12207

September 15, 2009

Mr. Patrick Blanchfield, AICP  
Director of Environmental Review  
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development  
100 Gold Street, Room 9V-3  
New York, NY 10038

Re: CAMBA, Inc.  
CAMBA – 97 Crooke Avenue  
Brooklyn, Kings County

Dear Mr. Blanchfield:

On April 9 and 12, 2009, the New York State Division of Housing and Community Renewal (DHCR), published a “Combined Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact and Intent to Request Release of Funds”. DHCR is the designated tax credit agency for the disbursement of funds in connection with the federal Tax Credit Assistance Program authorized under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act”. DHCR based this combined FONSI after compiling its “TCAP Programmatic Environmental Review Record” dated April 9, 2009. On June 17, 2009, DHCR received a notice of Authority to Use Grant Funds, which certifies that the requirements under 24 CFR Part 58 of HUD environmental review regulations had been satisfied.

DHCR has determined that the Environmental Review Record, prepared by the NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) on August 20, 2009, for the above referenced project complies with the requirements of 24 CFR Part 58 of HUD Environmental Review regulations. This letter constitutes clearance of the environmental review of this project and removal of the limitation on commitment of HUD TCAP assistance per [24 CFR 58.22(b)].

DHCR, in compliance with the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), concurs with the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals determination of Unlisted, Negative Declaration dated June 16, 2009.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding this environmental clearance letter, please contact me at (518) 402-3392 or [bwigzell@nysdhcr.gov](mailto:bwigzell@nysdhcr.gov). The Environmental Assessment for this site will be posted on the web at <http://nysdhcr.gov/general/ARRA/EnvironmentalReview.htm>.

Sincerely,

Barbara H. Wigzell, R.A.  
Certifying Officer  
SEQR Officer

Cc: Cathy Frangella, TCAP Program Manager

Web Site: [www.dhcr.state.ny.us](http://www.dhcr.state.ny.us)  
Email address: [dhcrinfo@dhcr.state.ny.us](mailto:dhcrinfo@dhcr.state.ny.us)

**Environmental Assessment**  
**Site Specific Review**

**Responsible Entity:** NYS Division of Housing and Community Renewal (DHCR)

**Certifying Officer:** Barbara H. Wigzell, RA, DHCR

**Program Name:** The Tax Credit Assistance Program (TCAP)  
American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009

**Federal Agency:** U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

**Project #:** N/A

**Project Sponsor:** CAMBA, Inc.  
**Project Name:** CAMBA - 97 Croke Avenue, Brooklyn

**Project Site Address:** 97 Croke Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11226

**Project County:** Kings County

**Estimated project costs:** \$ 16,000,000  
**Total TCAP Award:** \$ 1,370,000

**Project Sponsor Address:** 1720 Church Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11226

**Primary Contact's Name:** Patrick Blanchfield, AICP  
**E-Mail address:** blanchfp@hpd.nyc.gov  
**Telephone Number:** 212-863-5056

**Program Classification:** 58.36 - Environmental Assessment

**ENVIRONMENTAL FINDING:** [58.40(g)]

- Finding of No Significant Impact** - The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.
- Finding of Significant Impact** - The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

The undersigned hereby certifies that the City of New York - Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) has conducted an environmental review of the project identified above and prepared the attached environmental review record in compliance with all applicable provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, (42 USC sec. 4321 et seq.) and its implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 58.

**Preparer Signature:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**NAME:** Aaron Wemer  
**Title/Agency:** Environmental Planner  
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development

**Date:** 8/20/09

**Project Name:** CAMBA - 97 Crooke Avenue, Brooklyn  
**Project #:** N/A

## **Environmental Assessment** **Site Specific Review**

### **Project Description:**

The City of New York - Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) intends to undertake activities funded through the US Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) Tax Credit Assistance Program (TCAP) to finance the new construction of a 9-story residential building containing a total of 53 dwelling units for homeless and low-income individuals. All units will be affordable to families making up to 50% AMI.

The project site is located at 97 Crooke Avenue (Block 5059, Lot 51) in Brooklyn, New York (the "project site"). The project site is currently vacant, irregular in shape and measures approximately 8,226 square feet in area. It is bounded by Crooke Avenue to the south, 6-story apartment houses to the north and west and an MTA-New York City Transit subway line to the east.

The requested funding would facilitate the new construction of a 9-story residential (supportive housing) development (the "proposed project") containing a total of 53 studio apartments as well as space for supportive services and community activities on the ground floor for tenants. All of the units would be reserved for homeless and low-income individuals earning up to 50% AMI. In addition to TCAP funding, the proposed project would utilize funding from HUD's HOME Investment Partnerships Program ("HOME").

### **Summary of Findings and Conclusions**

#### **Alternatives and Project Modifications Considered [24 CFR 58.40(e), Ref. 40 CFR 1508.9]**

*(Identify other reasonable courses of action that were considered and not selected, such as other sites, design modifications, or other uses of the subject site. Describe the benefits and adverse impacts to the human environment of each alternative and the reasons for rejecting it.)*

There is a need for supportive and affordable housing in New York City and the proposed project would provide such housing. As discussed in the appendices, the proposed project would not significantly affect the character, features and resources of the surrounding area. In some cases the proposed project would result in potential benefits by providing improvements in urban design conditions and new jobs. The proposed project would not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

**No Action:** Under No Action conditions, the project site would remain in its existing state. The vacant, unimproved condition of the site would remain and needed affordable housing would not be provided. The area would continue to be in need of supportive housing. Under No Action conditions, the site would remain in unproductive use and affordable housing opportunities would be lost.

**Action:** As described above, under Action conditions, the vacant project site would be redeveloped with a new, 53-unit residential (supportive housing) development, inclusive of space for supportive services and community activities on the ground floor for tenants. The proposed project would result in potential benefits by providing improvements in urban design conditions and new jobs. The proposed project would not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

**Mitigation Measures Recommended** [24 CFR 58.40(d), 40 CFR 1508.20]

*(Recommend feasible ways in which the proposal or its external factors should be modified in order to minimize adverse environmental impacts and restore or enhance environmental quality.)*

The proposed project would require 35 dBA of window-wall noise attenuation (with an alternate means of ventilation) in order to provide and maintain a maximum interior noise environment (closed-window condition) of 45 dBA. As stated in a July 20, 2009 letter by William Stein, of Dattner Architects, the project's architect of record, the project sponsor would provide windows with an STC rating of 37 dBA and masonry walls with an STC rating of 54 dBA. Because the project would require an alternate means of ventilation to maintain an interior noise environment of 45 dBA in a closed window condition, the letter also commits the project sponsor to the installation of air conditioners in air conditioner sleeves in each studio apartment (all habitable areas) on all facades of the proposed building.

As the project would be partially funded through HUD's HOME and TCAP programs, HPD will require the window-wall attenuation and alternate means of ventilation through provisions contained in the HOME and TCAP Written Agreements between HPD and the project sponsor, CAMBA Incorporated.

**THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW BY REQUEST TO THE DHCR CERTIFYING OFFICER at: [bwigzell@nysdhcr.gov](mailto:bwigzell@nysdhcr.gov) or (518) 402-3392.**

**Additional Studies Performed**

NEPA review for HOME funding, July 2009

CEQR review for variance from NYC Board of Standards and Appeals (BSA), May 2009

**List of Attachments:**

**Tax Map**

**NYC Zoning Map 16D**

**NYC Coastal Zone Map 16**

**FEMA Map #3604970214F**

**Window-Wall Attenuation Specifications Letter (Dattner Architects, July 2009)**

**NYC Landmarks Preservation Commission Memo 9/2/2008**

**Sole Source Aquifer Determination Cover Letter and Forms 5/9/09**

**BSA Resolution (BSA CAL. No. 26-09BZ), May 2009**

**List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted** [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]

CAMBA, Inc. (Project Sponsor)

Hillman Group, LLC (Phase 1 ESA) July 27, 2007

NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD)

NYC Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) 9/20/08

FEMA Flood plain map

Coastal Zone Map (NYC Department of City Planning)

NYC Board of Standards and Appeals Variance CEQR Review (BSA CAL. No. 26-09BZ), May 2009

Architect's noise attenuation letter (Dattner Architects, 7/20/2009)

**APPENDIX A**  
**Statutory Checklist**  
**Site Specific**  
[24CFR §58.5 and 58.6]

Project #: N/A  
Project Name: CAMBA - 97 Crooke Avenue, Brooklyn  
Project Address: 97 Crooke Avenue  
Brooklyn, New York 11226  
Date: August 20, 2009

*For each listed statute, executive order or regulation, record the determinations made. Note reviews, consultations or mitigation measures required:*

**A - Not Applicable to this project OR B - Consultation, Permit and/or Mitigation Required**

*Then, make a determination of compliance or consistency in the Section provided.*

Factors	A	B	Determinations and Compliance Documentation
<b>Historic Preservation</b> [36 CFR 800]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The NYC Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) was consulted. Based on letter dated 9/2/2008 from the LPC, the proposed project would not adversely affect historic resources (BSA CAL. 26-09BZ).
<b>Floodplain Management</b> [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The project site is not located within a Special Flood Hazard Area or within 100-year floodplain (FEMA map #3604970214F).
<b>Wetlands Protection</b> [Executive Order 11990]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The project site is not located in a federal wetlands protected area (Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, attached exhibits).
<b>Coastal Zone Management Act</b> [Sections 307(c),(d)]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The project site is not located within the boundaries of NYC's Coastal Zone. There would be no effect from the proposed project (Coastal Zone Boundary of New York, Section 16).
<b>Sole Source Aquifers</b> [40 CFR 149]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The project site is located in Kings County under which lies the Kings-Queens Aquifer which was designated a Sole Source Aquifer by the US EPA on 1/24/84. The EPA was consulted on 5/6/2009. The use of the site for residential purposes would not impact the aquifer.
<b>Endangered Species Act</b> [50 CFR 402]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The project site is located in a developed, urban area of New York City. The proposed project would be a new construction project in an area previously disturbed and developed. The project site is not located immediately adjacent to or on the East River, the habitat for the short-nosed sturgeon, the only listed endangered species for Kings County. The proposed project would not result in significant impacts related to the Endangered Species Act ( <a href="http://www.fws.gov/northeast/nyfo/es/CountyLists/KingsDec2006.htm">http://www.fws.gov/northeast/nyfo/es/CountyLists/KingsDec2006.htm</a> ).
<b>Wild and Scenic Rivers Act</b> [Sections 7 (b), (c)]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	There are no wild and scenic rivers within New York City, as designated by the US Department of the Interior; therefore the proposed project would not violate the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.
<b>Air Quality</b> [Clean Air Act, Sections 176 (c) and (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The proposed project is located in a non-attainment area. However, it would not generate significant levels of traffic, therefore no exceedances of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) associated with carbon monoxide (CO) or particulate matter (PM) would result. The project would not adversely affect the State Implementation Plan (SIP). The building would be nine stories in height and would be taller than surrounding buildings. There would be no potential for adverse air quality impacts associated with HVAC emissions (BSA CAL. No. 26-09BZ). In addition, the proposed project does not contain a transportation component. No significant impacts related to air quality would result.
<b>Farmland Protection Policy Act</b> [7 CFR 658]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The proposed project would not cause disturbance of Prime, Unique, or Statewide Important Farmland and it would not result in the conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural uses. Therefore, the proposed project would not violate Farmland Protection Policy Act. No impacts would result.

<b>Environmental Justice</b> [Executive Order 12898]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The proposed project would be located in a predominantly low-income and minority area. It is intended to serve an existing need for affordable housing and would not facilitate development which would result in adverse environmental justice impacts. Although the project site is located in a neighborhood characterized as low-income and minority, the proposed project would not result in any unmitigated impacts. Measures regarding noise would be provided by the project sponsor, CAMBA, Inc.
<b>Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51 B]</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>The project site is not located within 1,000 feet of any major highway and beyond the 65 dBA noise contour (for LaGuardia Airport and JFK International Airport). However, the site is adjacent to an at-grade MTA B and Q rail line (within 3,000 feet of a railroad). Based on an acoustical study provided by Cerami &amp; Associates, Inc., the proposed project would require 35 dBA of window-wall attenuation (when adjusted to account for the height of the noise meter, which was placed at a height of 3.5' above grade and partially shielded by a 5' high retaining wall). The assessment places the project site in an Unacceptable Zone for noise, which requires a minimum of 35 dBA window-wall attenuation (and alternate means of ventilation).</p> <p>Attenuation measures would be provided in order to maintain an interior noise environment (closed-window condition) of 45 dBA. As stated in a July 20, 2009 letter by William Stein, of Dattner Architects, the project's architect of record, the project sponsor would provide windows with an STC value of 37 dBA and masonry walls with an STC value of 54. The letter also commits the project sponsor to the installation of air conditioners in air conditioner sleeves in each studio apartment (all habitable areas) on all facades of the proposed building.</p> <p>As the project would be partly funded through HUD's HOME and TCAP programs, HPD will require the window-wall attenuation and alternate means of ventilation through provisions contained in the HOME and TCAP Written Agreements between HPD and the project sponsor, CAMBA Incorporated.</p>
<b>Toxic or Hazardous Substances and Radioactive Materials [HUD Notice 79-33]</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>The Phase I Environmental Site Assessment prepared by Hillman Group, LLC (2007) revealed no Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs) with respect to the project site. The site is currently a vacant lot; therefore, lead-based paint (LBP), asbestos containing materials (ACM) and mold are not present. Historical uses do not indicate the presence of hazardous materials on the site. According to the EPA's Map of Radon Zones, Kings County is considered to have low potential for radon exposure (it has a predicted average indoor radon screening level less than 2 pCi/L). Therefore, radon exposure would not result. (<a href="http://www.epa.gov/radon/zonemap/newyork.htm">http://www.epa.gov/radon/zonemap/newyork.htm</a>) (Phase I ESA - Hillman Group, 2007).</p>
<b>Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects near Hazardous Operations [24 CFR 51 C]</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>A visual survey of the surrounding area revealed that there are no exterior above-ground storage tanks within 1,000 feet of the project site with a direct line of sight to the proposed project. In addition, the exterior above-ground storage of explosives or hazardous materials within New York City is subject to New York City Fire Department (FDNY) permitting which requires appropriate blast and thermal protection materials around the storage tank to protect adjacent properties (Phase I ESA - Hillman Group, 2007).</p>
<b>Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51 D]</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>The project site is located beyond one mile of an airport; therefore, no further assessment is warranted and no impacts would result.</p>

**NOTES:**

**Prepared by:**

**NAME:** Aaron Wemer

**Title/Agency:** Environmental Planner/NYC Department of Housing and Preservation and Development

**END of STATUTORY CHECKLIST**

**APPENDIX B**  
**Environmental Assessment Checklist**  
**Site Specific**

Project #: N/A  
 Project Name: CAMBA - 97 Crooke Avenue, Brooklyn  
 Project Address: 97 Crooke Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11226

Date: August 20, 2009

[Environmental Review Guide HUD CPD 782, 24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]  
 Evaluate the significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features and resources of the project area.

Enter relevant base data and verifiable source documentation to support the finding. Then enter the appropriate impact code from the following list to make a finding of impact. **Impact Codes:** (1) - No impact anticipated; (2) - Potentially beneficial; (3) - Potentially adverse; (4) - Requires mitigation; (5) - Requires project modification. Note names, dates of contact, telephone numbers and page references. Attach additional materials as needed.

<b>Land Development</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Source or Documentation</b>
Conformance with Comprehensive Plans and Zoning	1	The proposed project requires bulk variances due to the project site's irregular shape and physical constraints (rail line). The bulk variances were approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals (BSA) in June 2009. In all other respects, the proposed project would be developed in accordance with the regulations of the existing R7-1 zoning district (see NYC Zoning Map 16d). No impacts related to zoning would result from the proposed project (BSA CAL. 26-09BZ).
Compatibility and Urban Impact	2	The proposed project would result in the construction of a new 9-story residential building on vacant land located in the Flatbush neighborhood of Brooklyn. The proposed project is located in a densely developed area of Brooklyn and would be consistent with surrounding land uses and prevailing urban design conditions in the area. It would result in a potential benefit by replacing a vacant lot with a new residential building. No impacts would result from the proposed project (BSA CAL. 26-09BZ).
Slope	1	The proposed project would not alter the slope of the surrounding area. No impacts would result from the proposed project.
Erosion	1	The proposed project is located in a densely developed urban area of Brooklyn. It would not result in significant effects related to soil erosion.
Soil Suitability	1	The soil is classified as "Urban Land" and is suitable for

		redevelopment and construction of the proposed project (Phase I ESA dated July 2007).
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety	1	The proposed project would not result in any hazards and nuisances. The construction effects associated with the development of the proposed project would be typical of construction effects throughout New York City, including sidewalk closures, fugitive dust and construction noise. The effects would be addressed under existing local, state, and federal regulations governing construction activities within New York City.
Energy Consumption	1	The proposed project would utilize existing power utilities in the area and would not consume a significant amount of energy.
<b>Neighborhood Impacts</b>		
Noise - Contribution to Community Noise Levels	1	The proposed project would not contribute to community noise levels as it would not result in significant levels of traffic (mobile source noise). No mobile or stationary noise impacts would result as the building's mechanical equipment would be enclosed in accordance with NYC Department of Buildings (DOB) regulations.
Air Quality - Effects of Ambient Air Quality on Project and Contribution to Community Pollution Levels	1	The proposed project would not generate significant levels of traffic, therefore no exceedances of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) associated with carbon monoxide (CO) or particulate matter (PM) would result. The building would be nine stories in height and taller than surrounding buildings. The proposed project would not result in adverse air quality impacts associated with HVAC emissions. Furthermore, the project site is not located near any medical, chemical or research labs or within 400' of manufacturing or large-scale emission sources (BSA CAL No. 26-09BZ).
Environmental Design Visual Quality - Coherence, Diversity, Compatible Use and Scale	2	The proposed project involves the new construction of a nine-story residential building in the Flatbush neighborhood of Brooklyn. The proposed project would not result in significant adverse impacts on urban design, visual resources or neighborhood character. It would provide a benefit by improving the site and providing much needed affordable housing for the neighborhood.
<b>Socioeconomic</b>		
Demographic Character Changes	1	The proposed project would not result in impacts associated with demographic character. The proposed project would provide safe, affordable housing to formerly homeless individuals as well as low-income residents of Brooklyn's Community District 14.
Displacement	1	There would be no displacement as the site is currently comprised of vacant land (BSA CAL. 26-09BZ).

Employment and Income Patterns	2	The proposed project would result in additional jobs associated with construction of the proposed project. Once constructed, the building would require maintenance and security personnel. The project would not result in a demographic change or a substantial effect on income patterns.
<b>Community Facilities and Services</b>		
Educational Facilities	1	The proposed project would not place a significant demand on public schools operated or chartered by the New York City Department of Education (BSA CAL 26-09BZ).
Commercial Facilities	1	Many locally-owned businesses are located on Ocean and Flatbush Avenues within close proximity to the project site. The new population resulting from the proposed project is likely to frequent neighborhood businesses. No impacts related to commercial facilities would result from the proposed project.
Health Care	1	Three major health care facilities are located within Brooklyn Community District 14: Kings County Medical Center at 451 Clarkson Avenue, SUNY- Downstate Medical Center at 450 Clarkson Avenue and Brooklyn Medical Center at 1606 Fulton Street. All three hospitals are easily accessible by public transportation. No impacts related to health care would result from the proposed project.
Social Services	1	Social services in the area of the proposed project are provided by a range of New York City agencies. In addition, the proposed project would provide on-site social services for residents. No impacts related to social services would result from the proposed project.
Solid Waste	1	Municipal solid waste disposal is provided by the New York City Department of Sanitation. The proposed project would not significantly increase the generation of solid waste or affect the provision of sanitation services.
Waste Water	1	Waste water would be handled by the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). The proposed project would not result in significant or unusual demand for sewage disposal or treatment and no impacts would result.
Storm Water	1	New York City's storm water management system is handled by DEP. In addition, the project site is less than one acre in size and would not require a SPDES permit. There would be no impact on storm water due to the proposed project.
Water Supply	1	There would be no impact on New York City's water supply system due to the proposed project. New York City's potable water supply is provided and maintained by DEP.
Public Safety - Police	1	There would be no impact on police services due to the proposed project. Police protection services are provided by the New York City Police Department (NYPD).

- Fire	1	There would be no impact on fire services due to the proposed project. Fire protection services are provided by the New York City Fire Department (FDNY).
- Emergency Medical	1	There would be no impact on local emergency medical services. These services are provided by Kings County Medical Center, SUNY-Downstate Medical Center and Brooklyn Medical Center. All three hospitals are easily accessible by public transportation (BSA CAL. 26-09BZ).
- Open Space	1	The proposed project would not place a significant demand on open space or recreational facilities in the area. No impacts to open space would result. The project site is located within walking distance to Prospect Park, the Brooklyn Parade Grounds and Umma Park (BSA CAL. 26-09BZ).
- Recreation	1	The proposed project would not place a significant demand on open space or recreational resources in the area. No impacts to recreation would occur. The project site is located within walking distance to Prospect Park, the Brooklyn Parade Grounds and Umma Park (BSA CAL. 26-09BZ).
- Cultural Facilities	1	The proposed project would not place a significant demand on cultural facilities in the area. The project site is located within New York City, which is home to ample cultural facilities accessible to residents by public transportation.
- Transportation	1	The project site is serviced by public transportation. There are subway and bus connections within walking distance. The two nearest subway lines are the B/Q at Church Avenue. The B16, B35 and B41 buses are all within 3 blocks of the project site. The proposed project is not expected to generate a significant amount of traffic and no significant impact on the area's transportation systems would occur (BSA CAL. 26-09BZ).
<b>Natural Features</b>		
Water Resources	1	The proposed project would not result in a significant effect on water resources, including groundwater. The project site is located in Kings County under which lies the Kings-Queens Aquifer which was designated a Sole Source Aquifer by the US EPA on 1/24/84. The EPA was consulted on 5/6/2009. The use of the site for residential purposes would not impact the aquifer.
Surface Water	1	The proposed project would not result in a significant effect on water resources, including groundwater and surface water.
Unique Natural Features and Agricultural Lands	1	There are no unique natural features or agricultural lands near the project site. Therefore, the proposed project would have no impact.
Vegetation and Wildlife	1	The proposed project is located in a developed, urban area of New

		York City. The proposed project is not located immediately adjacent to the East River, the habitat for the short-nosed sturgeon, the only listed endangered species for Kings County. The proposed project would not result in significant effects related to the Endangered Species Act.
Flood Disaster Protection Act [Flood Insurance]	1	The project site is not located within a Special Flood Hazard Area and flood insurance is not required (FEMA map #3604970214F).
Coastal Barrier Resources Act/ Coastal Barrier Improvement Act [§58.6(c)]	1	The project site is not located on a Coastal Barrier Resource.
Airport Runway Clear Zone or Clear Zone Disclosure [§58.6(d)]	1	The project is not located proximate to a Runway Clear Zone or Clear Zone.
<b>Other Factors</b>		
<b>Other Factors</b>		
<b>Other Factors</b>		

Comments:

**Prepared by:**

**NAME:** Aaron Werner

**Title/Agency:** Environmental Planner/NYC Department of Housing and Preservation and Development

**END OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST**