

David A. Paterson  
Governor



Deborah VanAmerongen  
Chairperson

**New York State Division of Housing and Community Renewal**

Hampton Plaza  
38-40 State Street  
Albany, NY 12207

October 13, 2009

SENT VIA ELECTRONIC AND U.S. MAIL

Eugenio Marlin, President & CEO  
Ibero-American Development Corporation  
954 Clifford Avenue  
Rochester, NY 14621  
emarlin@iberodevelopment.org

Re: SHARS Number: 20096052  
Ibero-American Development Corporation  
El Camino Estates  
Scattered sites, City of Rochester, Monroe County:  
46, 58, 64, 97, 141, 254, 258, 271, 272, 277, 278 Conkey Avenue;  
5, 6, 12, 16, 17 Woodford; 18, 22, 30 Harris; 11, 13, 15, 17, 19 Nielson

Dear Mr. Marlin:

On April 9 and 12, 2009, the New York State Division of Housing and Community Renewal (DHCR), published a "Combined Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact and Intent to Request Release of Funds". DHCR is the designated tax credit agency for the disbursement of funds in connection with the federal Tax Credit Assistance Program authorized under the "American Recovery and Reinvestment Act". DHCR prepared the combined FONSI after compiling its "TCAP Programmatic Environmental Review Record" dated April 9, 2009. On June 17, 2009, DHCR received a notice of Authority to Use Grant Funds, which certifies that the requirements under 24 CFR Part 58 of HUD environmental review regulations had been satisfied.

DHCR has determined that the Environmental Review Record, dated October 13, 2009, for the above referenced project complies with the requirements of 24 CFR Part 58 of HUD Environmental Review regulations. This letter constitutes clearance of the environmental review of this project and removal of the limitation on commitment of HUD TCAP assistance per [24 CFR 58.22(b)]. A copy of this letter and the ERR will be posted at <http://nysdhcr.gov/general/ARRA/EnvironmentalReview.htm>.

DHCR, in compliance the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), accepts the Type II determination of The New York State Housing Trust Fund Corporation (HTFC), dated October 13, 2009.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding this environmental clearance letter, please contact me at (518) 402-3392 or [bwigzell@nysdhcr.gov](mailto:bwigzell@nysdhcr.gov).

Sincerely,

Barbara H. Wigzell, R.A.  
Certifying Officer  
SEQR Officer

Cc: Cathy Frangella, TCAP Pro gram Manager  
Kristin Slaiman, HTFC Project Manager  
Wayne Petterson, DHCR Program Management  
Lawrence Jones, [[lawrence@rcg ltd.net](mailto:lawrence@rcg ltd.net)]

**Environmental Assessment**  
**Site Specific Review**

**Responsible Entity:** NYS Division of Housing and Community Renewal (DHCR)

**Certifying Officer:** Barbara H. Wigzell, RA, DHCR

**Program Name:** The Tax Credit Assistance Program (TCAP)  
American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009

**Federal Agency:** U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

**Project #:** 20096052

**Project Sponsor:** **Ibero-American Development Corporation**

**Project Name:** **El Camino Estates**

**Project Site Address:** Scattered sites, City of Rochester  
46, 58, 64, 97, 141, 254, 258, 271, 272, 277, 278 Conkey Avenue;  
5, 6, 12, 16, 17 Woodford; 18, 22, 30 Harris; 11, 13, 15, 17, 19 Nielson

**Project County:** Monroe

**Estimated project costs:** \$6,280,883

**Total TCAP Award:** \$3,260,615

**Project Sponsor Address:** 954 Clifford Avenue  
Rochester, NY 14621

**Primary Contact's Name:** Eugenio Marlin

**E-Mail address:** emarlin@iberodevelopment.org

**Telephone Number:** 585-467-6410, Ext. 22

**Program Classification:** 58.36 - Environmental Assessment

**ENVIRONMENTAL FINDING:** [58.40(g)]

- Finding of No Significant Impact** - The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.
- Finding of Significant Impact** - The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

The undersigned hereby certifies that DHCR has conducted an environmental review of the project identified above and prepared the attached environmental review record in compliance with all applicable provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, (42 USC sec. 4321 et seq.) and its implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 58.

**Preparer Signature:**

---

**NAME:** Heather M. Spitzberg  
**Title/Agency:** Environmental Analyst  
NYS Division of Housing and Community Renewal

**Date:** October 13, 2009

**Project Name:** El Camino Estates  
**Project #:** 20096052

**Environmental Assessment**  
**Site Specific Review**

**Project Description:**

Ibero-American Development Corporation (IADC) proposes new construction of 23, 3-4 bedroom, single-family or duplex homes and rehabilitation of one existing 3 bedroom single-family home at scattered sites, generally located in the northwest quadrant of the City of Rochester, Monroe County. The design and construction of the project will follow the NYS Division of Housing and Community Renewal's guidelines for the "Green Building Initiative." Public water and sewer are available at the sites. Each parcel is generally less than 0.25 acres and the disturbed area of each site is considerably less. Site work will include clearing, grading and excavation, construction of driveways, parking areas and sidewalks, finish grading and landscaping.

**Summary of Findings and Conclusions**

**Alternatives and Project Modifications Considered [24 CFR 58.40(e), Ref. 40 CFR 1508.9]**

The proposed project is being developed by a non-profit developer of affordable housing. The only other alternative considered is a No Action Alternative, described in more detail below. As described below, absent the requested funding, the project site would remain vacant and needed affordable housing for low-income individuals would not be provided.

No Action: If no actions are taken, the existing site would remain vacant and the benefits to low and moderate income households who need safe and decent affordable housing, would be lost. Through inaction, New York State will lose an opportunity to construct affordable housing projects and provide a large number of construction and related jobs in a time of economic uncertainty.

Action: Refer to project description above.

The construction of this project will not cause any significant, adverse environmental impacts.

**Mitigation Measures Recommended [24 CFR 58.40(d), 40 CFR 1508.20]**

Hazards and Nuisances Including Site Safety: Phase I Environmental Assessments (ESA), prepared by Stantec Consulting Services, dated July and August 2009, found no recognized environmental conditions on the properties.

An Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM) survey, dated July 2009, was prepared by Stantec for the property to be rehabilitated at 141 Conkey. It found suspected ACM in several locations of the residence. The sponsor submitted Asbestos Abatement Management specifications, dated September 14, 2009, that must be followed.

A Lead Based Paint (LBP) Survey Report, prepared by Environmental Testing & Consulting, Inc, dated July 17, 2009, found suspected LBP in several locations of the residence at 141 Conkey. The sponsor submitted Handling of Lead Containing Materials specifications, dated September 14, 2009, that must be followed.

At the conclusion of the project, evidence of proper ACM and LBP removal and disposal must be submitted to HTFC. This must include a report that summarizes the final testing/clearance for the entire project with waste manifests attached. A qualified third-party must complete the final testing/clearance and submit this report. All ACM must be removed and disposed according to NYS Department of Labor Part 56 requirements, and all other applicable federal, state, and local regulations. All LBP work must

Page 1 of 2

Environmental Assessment

Site Specific Review

Page 2 of 2

comply with HUD rules and guidance for testing and abatement of lead-based paint (Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, HUD-1539-LBP, July, 1995 and 24 CFR Part 35). The Sponsor must provide certified third-party clearance examination reports for: each work area to demonstrate that the hazard reduction activities are complete; and, at the completion of the project, prior to occupancy, to demonstrate that no soil-lead hazards or settled dust-lead hazards exist.

A Fungi Assessment and Remedial Protocol, dated July 20, 2009, was prepared by Leader Professional Services, Inc. It determined that there was evidence of minor mold growth on several wood joists in the basement, a small piece of sheetrock behind the basement stairs and several small spots on the plywood sheeting of the attic. The mold contamination must be handled as described in the letter from the sponsor dated September 22, 2009. At the completion of the project, the sponsor must submit a certification from the person in charge of overseeing the mold remediation. It must state that the measures in the July 20, 2009 report from Leader were followed and must also state whether any additional mold contamination was discovered.

**List of Additional Studies Performed, Attachments, Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b):**

**THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW BY REQUEST TO THE DHCR CERTIFYING OFFICER at: [bwigzell@nysdhcr.gov](mailto:bwigzell@nysdhcr.gov) or (518) 402-3392.**

Asbestos Containing Materials Survey, dated July 2009, prepared by Stantec Consulting Services  
City of Rochester Community Planning and Development Division ERR, dated July, 2009  
Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (ESA), prepared by Stantec Consulting Services, dated July and August 2009  
EPA Map of Radon Zones for New York State  
Fungi Assessment and Remedial Protocol, dated July 20, 2009, prepared by Leader Professional Services, Inc.  
HUD Noise Worksheet B to evaluate noise from airports  
Lead Based Paint Survey Report, dated July 17, 2009, prepared by Environmental Testing & Consulting, Inc.  
NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation  
NYS Coastal Atlas  
Flood Insurance Rate Map Panel Number 36055C0211G dated August 28, 2008  
Thermal Explosive Survey prepared by Stantec Consulting Services, dated September 3, 2009 and updated September 25, 2009  
Tribal Historic Preservation Officers: Chief Emerson Webster in Basom, NY and Mourice John in Irving

**APPENDIX A**  
**Statutory Checklist**  
**Site Specific**  
[24CFR §58.5 and 58.6]

Project #: **20096052**  
Project Name: **El Camino Estates**  
Project Address: Scattered sites, City of Rochester  
46, 58, 64, 97, 141, 258, 271, 272, 277, 278, 254 Conkey Avenue;  
5, 6, 12, 16, 17 Woodford; 18, 22, 30 Harris; 11, 13, 15, 17, 19 Nielson

Date: October 13, 2009

*For each listed statute, executive order or regulation, record the determinations made. Note reviews, consultations or mitigation measures required:*

**A - Not Applicable to this project OR B - Consultation, Permit and/or Mitigation Required**

*Then, make a determination of compliance or consistency in the Section provided.*

Factors	A	B	Determinations and Compliance Documentation
<b>Historic Preservation</b> [36 CFR 800]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The project has been reviewed in accordance with Section 14.09 of the New York Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law. Letters from the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, dated February 1, 2007, August 24, 2009 and September 18, 2009 indicate that the proposed construction will have "No Impact" on historic resources.  A THPO project review request was submitted to these Tribal Historic Preservation Officers on August 14, 2009: Chief Emerson Webster in Basom, NY and Maurice John in Irving.
<b>Floodplain Management</b> [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The project sites are not in a Special Flood Hazard Area, according to the FIRM Map Panel Number 36055C0211G dated August 28, 2008.
<b>Wetlands Protection</b> [Executive Order 11990]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The sites are all urban infill lots.
<b>Coastal Zone Management Act</b> [Sections 307(c),(d)]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	According to the New York State Coastal Atlas, the sites are not located in a coastal zone.
<b>Sole Source Aquifers</b> [40 CFR 149]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The sites are not located in a SSA Area.
<b>Endangered Species Act</b> [50 CFR 402]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The sites are all urban infill lots.
<b>Wild and Scenic Rivers Act</b> [Sections 7 (b), (c)]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The project sites are not located near a WSRR
<b>Air Quality</b> [Clean Air Act, Sections 176 (c) and (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The project area is in Monroe County, which is in a nonattainment area for 8-Hr Ozone. Ozone is created by emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NOx). Both VOCs and NOx are emitted by transportation and industrial

			<p>sources.</p> <p>The proposed project is a residential use and unlikely to significantly impact air quality from emissions of VOCs or NOx.</p> <p>Since the project is for residential use there will be no significant increase on traffic.</p>
<b>Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The sites are all urban infill lots.
<b>Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	This project will facilitate the creation of affordable housing units on infill lots in a currently existing residential neighborhood. Neither the sites nor the neighborhood will suffer from disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority and low-income populations relative to the community-at-large.
<b>Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51 B]</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	There are no major roadway or active railroad noise sources near the sites. The sites are within 15 miles of the Greater Rochester International Airport. The applicant submitted HUD Noise Worksheet B, which demonstrates the site to be within the "acceptable" range.
<b>Toxic or Hazardous Substances and Radioactive Materials [HUD Notice 79-33]</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Phase I Environmental Assessments (ESA), prepared by Stantec Consulting Services, dated July and August 2009, found no recognized environmental conditions on the properties.</p> <p>An Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM) survey, dated July 2009, was prepared by Stantec for the property to be rehabilitated at 141 Conkey. It found suspected ACM in several locations of the residence. The sponsor submitted Asbestos Abatement Management specifications, dated September 14, 2009, that must be followed.</p> <p>A Lead Based Paint (LBP) Survey Report, prepared by Environmental Testing &amp; Consulting, Inc, dated July 17, 2009, found suspected LBP in several locations of the residence at 141 Conkey. The sponsor submitted Handling of Lead Containing Materials specifications, dated September 14, 2009, that must be followed.</p> <p>At the conclusion of the project, evidence of proper ACM and LBP removal and disposal must be submitted to HTFC. This must include a report that summarizes the final testing/clearance for the entire project with waste manifests attached. A qualified third-party must complete the final testing/clearance and submit this report. All ACM must be removed and disposed according to NYS Department of Labor Part 56 requirements, and all other applicable federal, state, and local regulations. All LBP work must comply with HUD rules and guidance for testing and abatement of lead-based paint (Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, HUD-1539-LBP, July, 1995 and 24 CFR Part 35). The Sponsor must provide certified third-party clearance examination reports for: each work area to demonstrate that the hazard reduction activities are complete; and, at the completion of the project, prior to occupancy, to demonstrate that no soil-lead hazards or settled dust-lead hazards exist.</p>

			<p>A Fungi Assessment and Remedial Protocol, dated July 20, 2009, was prepared by Leader Professional Services, Inc. It determined that there was evidence of minor mold growth on several wood joists in the basement, a small piece of sheetrock behind the basement stairs and several small spots on the plywood sheeting of the attic. The mold contamination must be handled as described in the letter from the sponsor dated September 22, 2009. At the completion of the project, the sponsor must submit a certification from the person in charge of overseeing the mold remediation. It must state that the measures in the July 20, 2009 report from Leader were followed and must also state whether any additional mold contamination was discovered.</p> <p>The project site is located in an area with the potential for radon levels to exceed the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) action level. According to a letter and attachments from the project Sponsor, submitted September 8, 2009, a "passive" soil depressurization system will be incorporated into the building design in accordance with EPA "Model Standards and Techniques for Control of Radon in New Residential Buildings, EPA 402-R-94-009, March 1994. Radon testing must be conducted when the buildings are complete, prior to occupancy, with test results forwarded to the HTFC Environmental Analyst. A third-party air-monitoring contractor must complete the final testing/clearance and the results must be certified by an authorized testing laboratory. The third-party must submit a report summarizing the final testing/clearance for the entire project. If radon testing indicates that the radon level exceeds the EPA action level of 4 pCi/L, an active fan, complete with alarm system, will be installed and re-tested prior to occupancy to determine that radon levels will be maintained below recommended limits.</p>
<b>Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects near Hazardous Operations [24 CFR 51 C]</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	According to a September 3, 2009 report prepared by Stantec Consulting Services, and an update dated September 25, 2009, there are no above ground storage tanks that are not within the acceptable separation distance from any of the sites.
<b>Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51 D]</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The sites are not located within 2500 feet of any designated commercial service airports or within 15,000 feet of any military airfields.

**NOTES:**

Heather M. Spitzberg

October 13, 2009

**APPENDIX B**  
**Environmental Assessment Checklist**  
**Site Specific**

SHARS #: **20096052**  
 Project Name: **El Camino Estates**  
 Project Address: Scattered sites, City of Rochester  
 46, 58, 64, 97, 141, 254, 258, 271, 272, 277, 278 Conkey Avenue;  
 5, 6, 12, 16, 17 Woodford; 18, 22, 30 Harris; 11, 13, 15, 17, 19 Nielson  
 Date: October 13, 2009

[Environmental Review Guide HUD CPD 782, 24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 & 1508.27]  
 Evaluate the significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features and resources of the project area.

Enter relevant base data and verifiable source documentation to support the finding. Then enter the appropriate impact code from the following list to make a finding of impact. **Impact Codes:** (1) - No impact anticipated; (2) - Potentially beneficial; (3) - Potentially adverse; (4) - Requires mitigation; (5) - Requires project modification. Note names, dates of contact, telephone numbers and page references. Attach additional materials as needed.

<b>Land Development</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Source or Documentation</b>
Conformance with Comprehensive Plans and Zoning	1	The sites where new construction is to occur are zoned R-1 and the City of Rochester has certified that the new construction will comply with the zoning requirements and approved site plans on September 11, 2009.
Compatibility and Urban Impact	1	The project will result in the development of new and rehabilitated residential buildings in the northwest quadrant of the City of Rochester. No effects related to urban design or compatibility are anticipated and the project includes the rehabilitation of an unsightly and unsafe building. The redevelopment of these sites provides an urban design benefit to the surrounding neighborhood.
Slope	1	These previously developed urban sites do not have slopes of any significance.
Erosion	1	Erosion and sediment control will be employed during construction and required as part of each building permit. Letter from Stantec Consulting Services, Inc., February 12, 2008.
Soil Suitability	1	These previously developed urban sites have suitable soils for the proposed development.

<p>Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety</p>	<p>4</p>	<p>Phase I Environmental Assessments (ESA), prepared by Stantec Consulting Services, dated July and August 2009, found no recognized environmental conditions on the properties.</p> <p>An Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM) survey, dated July 2009, was prepared by Stantec for the property to be rehabilitated at 141 Conkey. It found suspected ACM in several locations of the residence. The sponsor submitted Asbestos Abatement Management specifications, dated September 14, 2009, that must be followed.</p> <p>A Lead Based Paint (LBP) Survey Report, prepared by Environmental Testing &amp; Consulting, Inc, dated July 17, 2009, found suspected LBP in several locations of the residence at 141 Conkey. The sponsor submitted Handling of Lead Containing Materials specifications, dated September 14, 2009, that must be followed.</p> <p>At the conclusion of the project, evidence of proper ACM and LBP removal and disposal must be submitted to HTFC. This must include a report that summarizes the final testing/clearance for the entire project with waste manifests attached. A qualified third-party must complete the final testing/clearance and submit this report. All ACM must be removed and disposed according to NYS Department of Labor Part 56 requirements, and all other applicable federal, state, and local regulations. All LBP work must comply with HUD rules and guidance for testing and abatement of lead-based paint (Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, HUD-1539-LBP, July, 1995 and 24 CFR Part 35). The Sponsor must provide certified third-party clearance examination reports for: each work area to demonstrate that the hazard reduction activities are complete; and, at the completion of the project, prior to occupancy, to demonstrate that no soil-lead hazards or settled dust-lead hazards exist.</p> <p>A Fungi Assessment and Remedial Protocol, dated July 20, 2009, was prepared by Leader Professional Services, Inc. It determined that there was evidence of minor mold growth on several wood joists in the basement, a small piece of sheetrock behind the basement stairs and several small spots on the plywood sheeting of the attic. The mold contamination must be handled as described in the letter from the sponsor dated September 22, 2009. At the completion of the project, the sponsor must submit a certification from the person in charge of overseeing the mold remediation. It must state that the measures in the July 20, 2009 report from Leader were followed and must also state whether any additional mold contamination was discovered.</p>
--	----------	--

		<p>The project site is located in an area with the potential for radon levels to exceed the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) action level. According to a letter and attachments from the project Sponsor, submitted September 8, 2009, a “passive” soil depressurization system will be incorporated into the building design in accordance with EPA "Model Standards and Techniques for Control of Radon in New Residential Buildings, EPA 402-R-94-009, March 1994. Radon testing must be conducted when the buildings are complete, prior to occupancy, with test results forwarded to the HTFC Environmental Analyst. A third-party air-monitoring contractor must complete the final testing/clearance and the results must be certified by an authorized testing laboratory. The third-party must submit a report summarizing the final testing/clearance for the entire project. If radon testing indicates that the radon level exceeds the EPA action level of 4 pCi/L, an active fan, complete with alarm system, will be installed and re-tested prior to occupancy to determine that radon levels will be maintained below recommended limits.</p>
Energy Consumption	1	<p>The project will comply with DHCR’s Green Building Initiative, which includes sustainable building practices that place value on improved energy performance, comfort and simplified maintenance requirements that lead to financial efficiencies for the project.</p>
<b>Neighborhood Impacts</b>		
Noise - Contribution to Community Noise Levels	1	<p>These 24 single-family and duplex residences will not contribute to community noise levels and will not result in significant levels of traffic (a mobile noise source) or stationary noise sources.</p>
Air Quality - Effects of Ambient Air Quality on Project and Contribution to Community Pollution Levels	1	<p>The project area is in Monroe County, which is in a nonattainment area a for 8-Hr Ozone. Ozone is created by emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NOx). Both VOCs and NOx are emitted by transportation and industrial sources.</p> <p>The proposed project is a residential use and unlikely to significantly impact air quality from emissions of VOCs or NOx.</p> <p>Since the project is for residential use there will be no significant increase on traffic.</p>
Environmental Design Visual Quality - Coherence, Diversity, Compatible Use and	1	<p>These 24 single-family and duplex residences are consistent with the existing and surrounding urban residential neighborhood. The project is consistent with the City's</p>

Scale		Consolidated Plan and zoning ordinance. Letter from City of Rochester Mayor, February 15, 2008.
<b>Socioeconomic</b>		
Demographic Character Changes	1	<b>The project will not alter the demographic character of the area. The inhabitants of the proposed project would have similar demographic characteristics as the population residing in the surrounding neighborhood.</b>
Displacement	1	The project will not result in any displacement of residents. All of the sites are currently vacant.
Employment and Income Patterns	1	Upon the completion of the project, minor changes to employment and income patterns may result. The redevelopment of project sites results in buildings and uses which previously occupied the sites.
<b>Community Facilities and Services</b>		
Educational Facilities	1	Upon the completion of the project, minor impacts to educational facilities may result. However, the redevelopment of project sites results in buildings and uses which previously occupied the sites.
Commercial Facilities	2	The project will result in a significant positive effect on existing commercial establishments since commercial facilities are proximate to the project sites. Project residents may frequent retail establishments in the neighborhood, which can potentially be a benefit to commercial facilities. Project Application, Exhibit 8.
Health Care	1	The relatively small number of people who will occupy the project will not place significant demand on health care facilities, which are located within five miles of the project sites. Project Application, Exhibit 8.
Social Services	1	The project sites were formerly development with residential homes and are to be built in an existing residential neighborhood. As such, adequate social services already exist in the project area.
Solid Waste	1	The project sites were formerly development with residential homes and are to be built in an existing residential neighborhood. As such, solid waste will be collected by the City of Rochester on a scheduled route that already exists for the streets when there were homes on these vacant lots.
Waste Water	1	The project will not place a significant demand on the public waste water services, which are available on-site.
Storm Water	1	A majority of the sites are served by a combined sewer service. Coverage under the NY SPDES General Permit for

		Construction Activities is not required. Typical erosion and sediment control will be employed during construction and required as part of each building permit. Letter from Stantec Consulting Services, Inc., February 12, 2008.
Water Supply	1	The project will not place a significant demand on the public water supply services, which are available on-site.
Public Safety - Police	1	The project sites were formerly development with residential homes and are to be built in an existing residential neighborhood and these single family homes will not significantly increase demand on police services. The Rochester Police Department Patrol Division East is located less than one mile from the site.
- Fire	1	The project sites were formerly development with residential homes and are to be built in an existing residential neighborhood and these single family homes will not significantly increase demand on fire safety services. Three stations are located about one mile from the site.
- Emergency Medical	1	The project sites were formerly development with residential homes and are to be built in an existing residential neighborhood and these single family homes will not significantly increase demand on the county-wide emergency medical services.
- Open Space	1	The project will not significantly impact open space resources in the community. The redevelopment of project sites results in buildings and uses which previously occupied the sites. The relatively small number of people who will occupy the project will not place significant demand on open space resources.
- Recreation	1	The project will not significantly impact recreational resources in the community. The redevelopment of project sites results in buildings and uses which previously occupied the sites. The relatively small number of people who will occupy the project will not place significant demand on recreational resources.
- Cultural Facilities	1	The project will not significantly impact on cultural resources in the community. The redevelopment of project sites results in buildings and uses which previously occupied the sites. The relatively small number of people who will occupy the project will not place significant demand on cultural resources.
- Transportation	1	The project sites are located in close proximity to public transportation. Project Application, Exhibit 8. The relatively

		small number of people who will occupy the project will not place significant demand on transportation resources.
<b>Natural Features</b>		
Water Resources	1	The redevelopment of project sites results in buildings and uses which previously occupied the sites and will not effect water resources. A majority of the sites are served by a combined sewer service. Coverage under the NY SPDES General Permit for Construction Activities is not required. Typical erosion and sediment control will be employed during construction and required as part of each building permit. Letter from Stantec Consulting Services, Inc., February 12, 2008.
Surface Water	1	The redevelopment of project sites results in buildings and uses which previously occupied the sites and will not effect surface water resources.
Unique Natural Features and Agricultural Lands	1	The redevelopment of project sites results in buildings and uses which previously occupied the sites and will not effect unique natural features and agricultural lands.
Vegetation and Wildlife	1	The redevelopment of project sites results in buildings and uses which previously occupied the sites and will not effect vegetation and wildlife.
Flood Disaster Protection Act [Flood Insurance]	1	The project sites are not in a Special Flood Hazard Area, according to the FIRM Map Panel Number 36055C0211G dated August 28, 2008.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act/ Coastal Barrier Improvement Act [§58.6(c)]	1	According to the New York State Coastal Atlas, the sites are not located in a coastal zone.
Airport Runway Clear Zone or Clear Zone Disclosure [§58.6(d)]	1	The sits are not located within 2500 feet of any designated commercial service airports or within 15,000 feet of any military airfields.
<b>Other Factors</b>		
<b>Other Factors</b>		
<b>Other Factors</b>		

Comments:

---

Heather M. Spitzberg

October 13, 2009

**END OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST**